# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Ju	stin Charles Aspa	Case Number: 18-4039MJ
	I con	clude that the following facts are est	.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been ablished: <i>(Check one or both, as applicable.)</i> defendant is a danger to the community and
	by a	re the detention of the defendant pe preponderance of the evidence t ntion of the defendant pending trial in	he defendant is a flight risk and require the
		There is probable cause to believe an offense for which a maximum prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq.  an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924 an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332 maximum term of imprisonment of ter an offense involving a minor victim. The defendant has not rebutted the	term of imprisonment of ten years or more is seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et lc(), 956(a), or 2332(b). b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which an years or more is prescribed. prescribed in . <sup>1</sup> e presumption established by finding 1 that no
	. ,	the defendant as required and the	•
		Alternative	e Findings
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the def conditions will reasonably assure t	endant will flee; no condition or combination of he appearance of the defendant as required.
$\boxtimes$	(2)	No condition or combination of conters and the community.	onditions will reasonably assure the safety of
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the justice; or threaten, injure, or intimi	defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct date a prospective witness or juror.

## PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

$\boxtimes$	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
		In addition to the nature of the alleged instant violent offense, Defendant's criminal history and substance abuse history add to the risk of danger.	
	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of .	
$\boxtimes$		defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services ort, except:	
	Defendant submitted the issue of detention.		
$\boxtimes$	In addition:		
	Defendant has a prior history of failing to comply with court ordered supervision.		

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant

to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 2nd day of April, 2018.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge